

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov A. John Voelker Director of State Courts

David R. Schanker Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

APRIL 2009

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of April 2009 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2008.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued three opinions in April. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

-	<u>April 2009</u>	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	<u>3</u>	<u>30</u>
Attorney disciplinary cases		11
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Civil cases		12
Criminal cases	2	7

Petitions for Review

A total of 70 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In April, the Supreme Court disposed of 54 petitions for review, of which 4 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 218 petitions for review pending.

4	<u>April 2009</u>	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	<u>70</u>	<u>504</u>
Civil cases	32	245
Criminal cases	38	259

Petition for Review dispositions	<u>54</u>	<u>482</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted)	25 (4)	250 (26)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	29 (0)	232 (12)

Petitions for Bypass

The Supreme Court received no petitions for bypass and disposed of no petitions for bypass in April. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has no petitions for bypass pending.

<u>Apri</u>	1 2009	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>
Civil cases	0	3
Criminal cases	0	0
Petition for Bypass dispositions	<u>0</u>	<u>9</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	7 (0)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	2 (0)

Requests for Certification

During April 2009, the Supreme Court received three requests for certification and disposed of one request for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has 3 requests for certification pending.

	<u>April 2009</u>	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed	3	8 7 1
Request for Certification dispositions	_	$\frac{7}{5}$ (4)
Criminal cases (requests granted)		2 (2)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of 11 matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed. The Supreme Court also received 9 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. One original action was filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 42 regulatory matters and 17 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

<u>April</u>	2009	Term to Date
<u>Filings</u>		
Attorney discipline	11	39
Judicial discipline	0	1
Bar admission	0	1
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	9	45
Other (including Original Actions)	1	6
Dispositions by Order		
Attorney discipline	3	16
Judicial discipline	0	0
Bar admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	5	35
Other (including Original Actions)	0	8

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

OPINIONS ISSUED DURING APRIL 2009

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE CASES

Docket No. Title Date

No opinions issued.

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2007AP001403-CR	State v. Alberto Fernandez Circuit Court order affirmed. Majority Opinion: Crooks, J. Dissent: Bradley, J., joined by Abrahamson, C.J.	04/28/2009
2006AP003156	Edward U. Notz v. Everett Smith Group, Ltd. Court of Appeals decision affirmed in part, reversed in part. Majority Opinion: Crooks, J. Concurrence: Roggensack, J., joined by Gableman, J. Concurrence/Dissent: Bradley, J., joined by Abrahamson, C.J. Ziegler, J. did not participate.	04/29/2009
2007AP000400-CR	State v. Mark H. Tody, Jr. Court of Appeals decision reversed and remanded. Lead Opinion: Abrahamson, C.J. Concurrence: Prosser, J. Concurrence: Ziegler, J., joined by Prosser, J. and Roggensack, J. Gableman, J. did not participate.	04/30/2009